UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/500,577	12/27/2004	Joseph W. Villard	6100-009	5236
29335 7590 09/22/2009 ROSENBAUM & ASSOCIATES, P.C. 650 DUNDEE ROAD			EXAMINER	
			BOR, HELENE CATHERINE	
SUITE #380 NORTHBROOK, IL 60062			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3768	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/22/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

scotugno@biopatentlaw.com

Intonsious Cummons	10/500,577	VILLARD ET AL.			
Interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	HELENE BOR	3768			
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):				
(1) <u>HELENE BOR</u> .	(3) <u>PETER PAREDES</u> .				
(2) <u>ERIC WINAKUR</u> .	(4)				
Date of Interview: <u>17 September 2009</u> .					
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	2) <mark> applicant's representative</mark>	e]			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.				
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1,22,63-71 and 79-81</u> .					
ldentification of prior art discussed: <u>Loeb (USPN 4,448,188); Rameshraja et al. journal article</u> .					
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g	ı)∏ was not reached. h)⊠ N	I/A.			
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: The Applicant presented the submitted proposed (see attain the prior art of record and the invention of the Applicant. The amendments. The Applicant agreed to take those comments. The Applicant agreed to take those comments allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no contain allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. The FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ANTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERLE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERLET REQUIREMENTS on reverse side or on attached sheet.	ched) amendments and discussible Examiner provided comments into consideration when fill discussions which the examiner agroup of the amendments that wild.) ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM,	ssed the different nts regarding the ng a response. reed would render the sould render the seen filed, APP of DAYS FROM TWHICHEVER IS	er the claims claims OF THE LICANT IS THIS LATER, TO		
/Eric F Winakur/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3768					

Application No.

Applicant(s)